



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,349	07/17/2001	Mark Bagley	36-1462	2632
75	90 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
NIxon & Vanderhye			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			2171	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/889,349	BAGLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	CamLinh Nguyen	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 May 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/06/2001</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendments to the Specification are acknowledged. Consequently, objection to the disclosure is withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's amendments to the abstract are acknowledged. Consequently, objection to the abstract is withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's amendments to the drawings are acknowledged. Consequently, objection to the drawings is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donohue et al (U.S. 5,987,480) in view of Christensen et al (U.S. 6,055,543).
- \bullet As per claim 1, 5, 9 10, 13 16, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose: Donohue et al (U.S. 5,987,480) discloses a method of managing information bearing content files stored in a computer file system, comprising:
 - "The computer file system being divided into directories" col. 13 lines 22 26.
 - "Locating one or more content files" corresponds to the command to locate documents (col. 7 lines 27 30).

Application/Control Number: 09/889,349

Art Unit: 2171

Christensen).

- The "content files" corresponds to the "documents" that stored in the data source 12 in Fig. 1 (col. 7 lines 35 44).
- "Associating one or more template files with each directory in which at least one content file is stored" See col. 5 lines 25 31. The documents stored in the web server contain different formats; therefore, when applying to the template, it will carry out a respective predetermined operation on the documents (col. 1 lines 57 65).
- "Applying the or each template file associated with a given directory to each content file stored in that directory" col. 7 lines 15 22.
- "Wherein the respective directory in which each content file is stored determines which of the or each template file is applied" col. 5, lines 63 67, col. 10, lines 43 48.

Donohue does not clearly teach that the directory stores the content file and the template.

However, Christensen discloses a search system that the content file and the metadata are stored together in a content wrapper that is organized in a directory (col. 4, lines 55 - 56, 64 - 67, col. 5, lines 26 - 28, col. 6, lines 35 - 36). Both inventions are in the same filed (search and delivery document to user using metadata). Donohue and Christensen suggest that the invention may be modified to archive the scope of the claims (col. 14, lines 28 - 36, Donohue; col. 4, lines 41 - 47,

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the name-value in Donohue invention by the content file of Christensen because the combination would reduce the network traffic in searching for data. Only the metadata is accessed to perform the search (col. 5 lines 63 – col. 6 lines 5, Christensen), rather than the entire of document.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/889,349

Art Unit: 2171

- ◆ As per claim 2, 6, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:
 - "The computer file system is divided into a hierarchical arrangement of directories" col.
 5 lines 26 30, Donohue.
- ◆ As per claim 3, 7, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:

Donohue teaches that the templates are stored in the directory. Each associate with a particular document or group of documents (col. 5 lines 26 – 30, Donohue.); therefore, the association of a template with a directory is made on the basis of the template file being stored in that directory.

- ◆ As per claim 4, 8, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:
 - "Associating metadata with each content file" See Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 8 10, Christensen.
 - "Carrying out the respective pre-determined operation on each content file... metadata" col. 1 lines 57 65, Donohue.
- \bullet As per claim 11 12, the combination of Donohue and Christensen disclose:
 - "The association of a template with a directory is made on the basis of the template file being stored in at least one of that directory and a parent directory of that directory" col.
 10, lines 43 48, Donohue.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/889,349 Page 5

Art Unit: 2171

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is 305-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 - 872 - 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-3900.

LN

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100